Spontaneously Writing a Few Theses on Perhaps Althusser, Louis Althusser

By Shomit Sirohi

I. Contemporary Althusserians

Only about the process of lines of demarcations between philosophers and choosing the syntax of Althusser – just is basic theory, that For Marx is materialism and Reading Capital is idealism, and its unity in a line of demarcation is the concept of in fact complex object which then means history – a type of complex history – like Ted Stolze argues – Sirohi's history of Annales in fact

method – that is most Althusserian.

II. My Own Renditions of Dialectical Materialism in Althusser

What I mean is finally three or four jottings for the whole process in Althusser –

I. Spontaneous tendencies (Sartre read as spontaneous speaker – but in fact Sirohi here draws the form of it – a number of interventions, which may take or just move over, and that becomes outlines to a System which is just its integration and

unity into a. aleatory b. dialectical or existential which is overdetermined complexity)

II. Repetitons then in different discursive contexts

I have a peculiar reptition in different contexts – that dialectical materialism, which is so aleatory.

III. Reading tendency, system and its outline and structure, or tendency becoming system

It means just the interventions clash in a logic and produce a temporary synthesis –

It can even mean a drawing of a funky person and his dreams on recovering from bad health being in fact analogized to medicine use being a aleatory tendency called medical naivity – whose concept is Hegel on simple medicine mediated by Marx on pharmacies.

IV. Dialectical Materialism then the full view of it

“Perhaps I meant outlining a system, with many tendencies, interventions, after interventions, which repeat the same thing – like this argument –

You know it is wonderful in Leibniz.”